

**TOWN OF GOSHEN  
INLAND WETLANDS COMMISSION  
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES  
THURSDAY, AUGUST 4, 2016 – 7:15PM**

**PRESENT:** Chairman Tom Stansfield, Danielle Breakell, Lorraine Lucas, Ray Turri, and Rick Wadhams; Martin Connor, AICP, Town Planner/Inland Wetlands Enforcement Officer; David Battista, PE, Town Engineering Consultant.

**EXCUSED:** Allen Kinsella.

**1. CALL TO ORDER:**

Chairman Tom Stansfield called the meeting to order at 7:15PM. The proceedings were recorded digitally, and copies are available in the Land Use Office in Town Hall.

**MOTION** Mr. Stansfield, second Ms. Lucas, to amend the agenda to discuss agenda item #4A first and then return to the agenda as written; unanimously approved.

**4. OLD BUSINESS:**

**A. Deborah G. Benjamin, 142 Sandy Beach Rd. - Shoreline stabilization - Repair Seawall.**

Deborah Benjamin addressed the Commission regarding this matter. Mr. Connor explained that the application had been accepted the previous month; however, a detailed drawing of the proposed stabilization work had been requested. A detailed sketch had been submitted for the record, and it was reviewed by members of the Commission. Mr. Connor stated that he had reviewed the plans and met with the applicant onsite; the proposed stabilization would match the work done at the adjoining property. He stated that, in his opinion, the application was complete and did not represent a significant activity. Ms. Lucas asked when the work would be completed, and Mr. Connor explained to Ms. Benjamin that the work should be completed during drawdown when the water level was low and that she should notify him when the work is about to begin.

**MOTION** Mr. Turri, second Mr. Wadhams, to approve the application in the matter of **Deborah G. Benjamin, 142 Sandy Beach Rd. - Shoreline stabilization - Repair Seawall** with the condition that the activities proposed should be completed during drawdown; unanimously approved.

**2. PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

**A. James Mersfelder, Vice President/Treasurer for Woodridge Lake Sewer District -Construction of a wastewater transmission system from the Existing WLSD Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) at 113 Brush Hill Road to the Existing Municipal Sewer System in the City of Torrington. (Starting at the Goshen-Torrington Town line heading west and south, the Project traverses: Torrington Road (State Route 4) at Goshen-Torrington Town line west to East Street South; then south along East Street South (Town road) to Pie Hill Road; then west on Pie Hill Road (Town road) until Old Middle Street (State Route 63); south on Old Middle Street (State Route 63) to Brush Hill Road; then west along Brush Hill Road (Town road); the portions of the proposed Project in State Routes 4 and 63 are within the State right-of-way; the proposed portions of the proposed Project within East Street South, Pie Hill Road and Brush Hill Road are within the Town right-of-way; the proposed Project also traverses two proposed easements from Brush Hill Road (Wadhams easement at 533 Old Middle Street, and Goodhouse easement at 38 Brush Hill Road) before it enters the Woodridge Lake Sewer District (WLSD) property of 113 Brush Hill Road to the existing Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF).**

Ray Turri explained that, as he currently serves as the president of the Woodridge Lake Sewer District, he was therefore recusing himself from this matter. Mr. Turri left the commission table and exited the room at 7:21PM.

The Commission Clerk read into the record the legal notice for this matter. Mr. Stansfield briefly explained to those present in the audience the order in which the public hearing would proceed. Each commission member present at the table introduced themselves and gave a brief summation of their professional credentials and experience. The Inland Wetlands Agent, Martin Connor, and the Town's Engineering Consultant, David Battista, did the same.

## IWC Minutes 080416

Attorney Christopher Smith of Shipman & Goodwin addressed the Commission on behalf of the Woodridge Lake Sewer District. Mr. Smith submitted proof of notice to abutting neighbors to the commission clerk. He also distributed a green packet entitled "Packet in support of application for permission to conduct regulated activities associated with a proposed wastewater transmission system located in Goshen, Connecticut" dated August 4, 2016; he briefly reviewed with the Commission the documents included in the green packet.

Jim Mersfelder, Vice-President and Treasurer of the Woodridge Lake Sewer District (WLS D), then addressed the Commission and briefly explained the history of the Woodridge Lake sewer plant and the issues that led to the WLS D requiring this permit. He explained that WLS D had been issued a Consent Decree by the State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection in 1998, and were later notified in 2010 by the Attorney General of Connecticut that WLS D would be fined up to \$25,000 per day if the issues detailed in the 1998 Consent Decree were not satisfactorily resolved. Mr. Mersfelder then detailed the steps taken by the WLS D leadership to investigate possible ways to resolve this issue. He stated that they had looked into included upgrading the existing facility, connecting to the Town of Litchfield sewer system, and connecting to the City of Torrington sewer system. Mr. Mersfelder explained that after a great deal of research and discussions, it became clear in November 2015 that the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) preferred to resolve this issue via connection to an adjoining sewer system. He said that WLS D spoke with both the City of Torrington and the Town of Litchfield about possible connection in the spring of 2015, which ultimately culminated in the plan now before the Commission. Mr. Mersfelder explained that the City of Torrington has an 8 million-gallon sewage treatment plant that did not require any capital improvements in order to be able to handle the additional sewage from WLS D. The City of Torrington did require engineering studies of the WLS D system, which were completed. He finished by explaining that residents currently served by the WLS D system pay some of the highest sewer fees in the state.

Dave Prickett, PE, next addressed the Commission in regard to this matter. Mr. Prickett reviewed the route of the proposed sewer line through the Town of Goshen into the City of Torrington. The existing treatment plant would be used as a pumping station, and the line would run through two private properties (for which easements had been obtained), and from there would run north on Route 63, east on Pie Hill Road, north on East Street South, and east on Route 4 to the town line. Mr. Prickett noted that there were no proposed new connections to the sewer line in either Goshen or Torrington. He explained that the line would be gravity-fed down Goshen Road to Lovers Lane, the point at which the line would hook into the existing sewer system. Mr. Prickett explained that most of the crossings would only require a 12- to 24-inch diameter pipe; however, there was one section of Pie Hill Road which would involve crossing a robust culvert and because of this, horizontal directional drilling and special piping would be used. Mr. Prickett explained that all of the work proposed would take place in existing right-of-ways or roadways; while effort was made to stay in the right-of-way so as to minimize the ripping up and replacement pavement, where the WLS D's environmental consultant identified a potential wetlands concern, the line was moved away and into the roadway. He explained how the force main lines would be constructed in the regulated areas, and he noted that paving would be done daily along Route 4 and Route 63.

Mr. Smith then readdressed the Commission to explain that the map Mr. Prickett was referencing was located in Section D of the green packet. He also requested that Mr. Prickett show the Commission exactly where the two easement areas were located. Mr. Prickett then identified on the map exactly where the Goodhouse and Wadhams easements were located and noted that WLS D had obtained utility easements from both property owners. Mr. Prickett explained that the areas numbered in red on the plans identified locations where work was proposed in the regulated area. While no work was proposed directly in the wetlands; however, there would be work within the upland review area.

Michael Klein of Environmental Planning Services then addressed the Commission. He explained that he had been retained by WLS D to identify all regulated areas near the proposed route and to flag their boundaries; inventory each area for their wetlands characteristics; perform an impact assessment for the proposed activities; and attend the public hearing to explain his findings. He referenced his report and the pictures taken at each regulated area along the proposed route, which are located in Section F of the green packet

Mr. M. Klein stated that most of the areas where regulated activities were to take place were upland of roadside wetlands; most had been modified to act as drainage swales and contained invasive plants and disturbance-tolerant native plants. He stated that he believed the roadway maintenance activities performed by town and state road crews would represent more disturbance to these wetlands than the

## IWC Minutes 080416

activity proposed as a part of this project. Mr. M. Klein said that there were also culvert and cross-culvert outlets leading into a wetlands area; he stated these areas were also frequently disturbed by maintenance activities. Mr. M. Klein stated that the wetlands along Pie Hill Road was, in his opinion, a more significant wetlands; however, the project did not propose any disturbance of wetlands soils. He noted that the Army Corps of Engineers would not consider the work proposed to be a regulated activity.

Mr. M. Klein reiterated that there would be no direct wetlands impact from this project. He explained that the work area was limited as the proposal was only to install pipe in trenches six feet deep and feet wide. Mr. M. Klein noted that the cross grades were quite flat, and the grade longitudinally was modest. Hay bales and silt fencing were recommended for erosion and sedimentation control. He stated that, in his opinion, the key would be rapid restoration of the disturbed areas. According to the plans, many areas would be stabilized the same day they were disturbed; the rest would be stabilized within a week. With the tight pipes used in a force main system, there would be no infiltration or exfiltration. In light of these findings, Mr. M. Klein stated that he believed there would be no adverse impacts to the wetlands and only temporary disturbance to the upland review area, where the natural resources present were adapted to this type of disturbance.

Mr. Connor verified with Mr. M. Klein that no actual work would be performed in the wetlands, only within the upland review area; Mr. M. Klein responded affirmatively. Mr. Connor then asked Mr. M. Klein if, in his professional opinion, the work proposed was not likely to adversely impact wetlands and watercourses; Mr. M. Klein responded affirmatively.

Mr. Smith then addressed the Commission again and asked Mr. Prickett whether, in his professional opinion, any of the activities proposed would result in an adverse impact to wetlands or watercourses; Mr. Prickett responded negatively. Mr. Smith stated that the work proposed by the WLSD was comparable to a homeowner laying pipe on a residential property.

Garret Harlow, Town of Goshen Public Works Supervisor, then addressed the Commission. He stated that he had reviewed materials submitted by the applicant, and he agreed with the statements made by the applicant. Mr. Harlow stated that he believed the proposal would have minimal impact to the Town's drainage system. At Mr. Connor's request, Mr. Harlow listed his professional credentials, explaining that he held a Bachelor of Science in Landscape Architecture and was also a licensed landscape architect.

Upon conclusion of the applicant's presentation, the Chairman invited commission members to ask any questions they may have regarding the application. Mr. Stansfield first asked about procedures in place in the event of a system failure. Mr. Prickett explained that WLSD has a supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system installed for remote access by their operators; therefore, they are connected 24-hours a day. He explained that in the event of a break there would be a loss of pressure, which would trigger an alarm, which in turn would trigger response by the operators. He explained that isolation and cleanouts were located every 1500 feet, so the area with the break could be closed off from the rest of the line. He noted that the existing water pollution control facility could hold 20,000 gallons of overflow.

Mr. Stansfield then asked whether any air-release valves would be located in the vicinity of the significant wetlands on Pie Hill Road. Mr. Prickett responded affirmatively, but noted that they were water-tight concrete vaults. With no exterior blow-off. Mr. Smith then asked Mr. Prickett if the valves can be shut, and Mr. Prickett responded that they could be shut very quickly. Mr. Smith then asked Mr. Prickett if the system complied with Department of Public Health guidelines, and Mr. Prickett responded affirmatively.

Referencing the work proposed along Pie Hill Road, Mr. Stansfield questioned whether the work proposed by WLSD would make any culvert replacements difficult should they become necessary in the future. Mr. Prickett responded negatively, citing the use of directional drilling in this location.

Mr. Connor asked if the applicant had had the opportunity to review comments submitted by the Town's engineer consultant, which were contained in a letter dated July 26, 2016. Mr. Prickett responded affirmatively; he stated that all of Mr. Parsons' recommendations will be incorporated into the applicant's plans and were acceptable as conditions of approval. Mr. Connor then asked Mr. Battista if he felt that the comments made in the July 26, 2016 letter could be satisfactorily addressed as conditions of approval; Mr. Battista responded affirmatively.

## IWC Minutes 080416

Ms. Lucas asked whether the WLSD would be maintaining the line, and Mr. Smith responded affirmatively. Mr. Smith then asked Mr. Battista if he believed, in his professional opinion, the proposed project would result in any adverse impact to the wetlands. Mr. Battista explained that his firm reviewed the plans looking for an appropriate standard of care, with erosion control during construction representing the primary issue, as well as the work proposed at Area 20, where additional detail was needed. He stated that, if the applicant addressed the concerns cited in the July 26, 2016 letter, then he felt it would be the appropriate standard of care he expected to see in a well-prepared plan.

At this time, the Commission clerk read into the record a letter dated July 15, 2016 from Barry Donaldson, President of the Goshen Land Trust, to Martin Connor expressing support for the proposal; she also read into the record a letter dated July 5, 2016 from D. Randall DiBella, President of The Torrington Country Club, Inc. to Martin Connor, which also expressed support for the proposal. The Clerk also read into the record a list of documents received that were part of the file for this application, which included a letter dated July 11, 2016 to Stacey Sefcik, Land Use Commission Clerk, from Pullman & Comley, LLC on behalf of The Torrington Water Company notifying the Commission of their intent to intervene in this application; a verified pleading dated July 8, 2016 was attached.

Hearing no further comments from the Commission, Mr. Stansfield invited the intervening party to address the Commission. Susan Suhanovsky, President of The Torrington Water Company, addressed the Commission to read a prepared statement, a copy of which was submitted for the record. Ms. Suhanovsky noted that the proposed line passes through the Allen Dam watershed area, and she questioned whether the applicant had taken this into consideration as they were developing the proposed plan. She alleged the applicant had failed to review the water supply plan filed with the Town and City Clerks in Goshen and Torrington. While not opposed to the project, Ms. Suhanovsky expressed concerns with the route selected.

Attorney Frederic Klein then addressed the Commission on behalf of The Torrington Water Company (TWC) and read from a prepared statement, a copy of which was submitted for the record. He reiterated that TWC does not oppose the plan; however, they are concerned that the applicant did not consider the fact that one mile of the route goes through TWC watershed, and the impact of this incursion on the watershed was not adequately analyzed. He suggested that the application was deficient as the WLSD should have conducted a full site assessment of the long-term impacts of this proposal. Mr. F. Klein suggested that the WLSD has a history of inadequate maintenance of their lines, which led to significant infiltration issues. In light of this, Mr. F. Klein stated that TWC was concerned that the new line would not be properly maintained, which could lead to line breaks affecting the watershed area. He acknowledged that WLSD modified their plan to include a sleeve pipe near the culvert on Route 4 and for 100 feet in either direction around it; however, that did not adequately protect the remaining watershed area through which the pipe is proposed to flow. Mr. F. Klein stated that TWC's engineer was still reviewing the application and noted that they had only received some information this week; therefore, they requested a continuance of the public hearing in order to give them sufficient time to assess the potential impacts of this application. He noted that the applicant stated they had considered other routes; however, the City of Torrington preferred the route on the submitted plans. He questioned where there was documentation stating this fact. He requested the Commission direct the WLSD to provide TWC with boring data in the watershed area, information regarding the design and operation of cleanouts and air-release manholes in the watershed area, and an impact assessment on the watershed.

Mr. Wadhams questioned where on the map the watershed was located. Steve Cerruto, Vice President of Operations for TWC, pointed out the approximate area on the map.

Mr. Connor questioned whether the watershed map was on file in the Town Clerk's office. Mr. Cerruto stated that the map was contained in the Water Supply Plan.

Mr. F. Klein then expressed concern about leaks from the line and stated that the Consent Decree necessitating this proposal was issued due to poor maintenance. Mr. Prickett explained that infiltration inflow was different from leakage, and that the WLSD had performed extensive repairs to resolve the infiltration issue, to the extent that it now meets the standards of new pipe. Mr. F. Klein reiterated his concerns regarding the air-release valves and request for a continuance of the public hearing. He also stated that the Town should have had their consulting engineer review the hydraulics involved in this system.

## IWC Minutes 080416

Mr. Connor questioned whether Ms. Suhanovsky was aware of other sewer lines that ran through watershed areas, and he cited Hamden and Groton as examples. Ms. Suhanovsky agreed but explained that this particular line was proposed to run through a watershed within her purview.

Mr. Connor then stated for the record that, in addition to his work for the Town of Goshen, he was also the City Planner for the City of Torrington. He stated that he had spoken directly to Ray Drew, the Administrator for the Water Pollution Control Authority, and he could confirm that the route chosen by the WLSL and contained in the submitted plans was the only route acceptable to the City of Torrington's Water Pollution Control Authority Administrator and Public Works Director. Ms. Lucas questioned why they felt this way, and Mr. Connor explained that, as the route was along the roadway, it would be much easier to access for inspection and maintenance purposes. It was also important to City officials that there be no additional user connections along the route. Ms. Lucas then clarified that WLSL would be responsible to maintain the line in Goshen, and the City of Torrington would be responsible to maintain the line in Torrington.

Mr. Stansfield then opened the floor to public comment for or against the application; however, no one present expressed a desire to speak.

Mr. Smith then addressed the Commission and reiterated with TWC officials the location of the watershed. He then pointed out that none of the regulated activities proposed as a part of this application are located within the portion of the Allen Dam watershed located in Goshen. Mr. Smith stated that the Connecticut Environmental Protection Act (CEPA), the Statute under which TWC was requesting intervenor status, required an intervenor to identify and quantify the harm the proposed activities would have on the natural resource. He stated that CEPA placed the burden on the intervenor to demonstrate this, and as such, speculation was insufficient. Mr. Smith said that CEPA could not be used to extend the Commission's jurisdiction beyond that stated in the statutes. The sewer line was designed to meet Department of Public Health guidelines, and the intervenor had provided no expert testimony to counter that provided by the applicant or the Town. Mr. Smith said if no substantial evidence was provided that the proposed activity would cause adverse impact, the Commission was not required to consider alternatives. However, he noted that WLSL had been asked to consider instead connecting to the Town of Litchfield sewer system; he submitted an email dated August 3, 2016 from David Wilson, Chairman of the Litchfield Water Pollution Control Authority to Jim Mersfelder, which stated that their plant would not have the ability to accommodate WLSL's flow. He also noted that WLSL did provide notice to TWC as required. Mr. Smith finished by stating that TWC had a burden of proof to meet which they did not, and as such, he requested the Commission approve the WLSL application and make a finding against the TWC's CEPA intervention.

Mr. F. Klein then reiterated that TWC could not provide the specific information required under CEPA because WLSL did not provide requested information to TWC. He also questioned whether the DEEP was notified that the line ran through a watershed.

Mr. Smith stated that his partner had spoken with Denise Ruzicka, Director of the Planning & Standards Division of DEEP regarding this project, and he was informed that similar projects have occurred elsewhere in the State. Mr. Connor then said that he spoke with Denise Ruzicka on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, and he stated that Ms. Ruzicka was aware of the proposal and stated such a project was not unusual. According to Mr. Connor, Ms. Ruzicka had stated that DEEP would prefer the line be located in public rights-of-way rather than through rural areas, as it is easier for inspections and repairs. The force main, no new linkages, and lack of commercial sewage were viewed favorably in this plan. Additionally, Mr. Connor stated that Ms. Ruzicka had been under the impression that the Allen Dam Reservoir was inactive and only used during periods of drought. Mr. Connor encouraged the Commission to focus on the issues within their purview, and he noted that the only experts present have stated that the activities would not create adverse impacts.

Mr. Stansfield asked for additional information regarding the crossing on Route 4 in the vicinity of Action Wildlife. Mr. Prickett explained that while the pond is very visible there, the conduit is actually small. Mr. M. Klein explained that the grade in the area is relatively flat and the right-of-way there was completely cleared. He said that the culverts there were shallow and small. He said he agreed with the Town's engineering consultant that the primary concern was proper erosion and sedimentation control; however, the area would be stabilized the same day. Mr. M. Klein stated he believed there was no reasonable likelihood of adverse impact to the wetlands. Mr. Prickett added that the detail on this particular crossing was on Sheet C-16, and two existing culverts that cross Route 4 one 15" and one 12" pipe proposed force main going under them.

## **IWC Minutes 080416**

Mr. Smith then asked Mr. M. Klein if he could make a statement as to whether, in his professional opinion, he believed the conduct associated with this proposal has a reasonable likelihood of resulting in the unreasonable pollution, impairment, or destruction of the public trust in the air, water, or other natural resources of the State of Connecticut. Mr. M. Klein stated that he did not believe it would in either Goshen or Torrington.

Mr. Smith then asked Mr. Prickett if he too could make a statement as to whether, in his professional opinion, he believed the conduct associated with this proposal has a reasonable likelihood of resulting in the unreasonable pollution, impairment, or destruction of the public trust in the air, water, or other natural resources of the State of Connecticut. Mr. Prickett stated he did not believe it would.

Mr. Connor told the Commission that he believed there was more than enough information in the file, and he believed the application was complete. He recommended closing the public hearing.

**MOTION** Mr. Wadhams, second Ms. Breakell, to close the public hearing in the matter of **James Mersfelder, Vice President/Treasurer for Woodridge Lake Sewer District -Construction of a wastewater transmission system from the Existing WLSD Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) at 113 Brush Hill Road to the Existing Municipal Sewer System in the City of Torrington;** the motion carried 3-1-0 with Ms. Lucas voting in opposition.

### **3. READING OF THE MINUTES:**

#### **A. July 7, 2016 regular meeting.**

**MOTION** Mr. Wadhams, second Ms. Breakell, to approve the minutes of the July 7, 2016 regular meeting as written; unanimously approved.

### **4. OLD BUSINESS:**

#### **B. James Mersfelder, Vice President/Treasurer for Woodridge Lake Sewer District -Construction of a wastewater transmission system from the Existing WLSD Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) at 113 Brush Hill Road to the Existing Municipal Sewer System in the City of Torrington. (Starting at the Goshen-Torrington Town line heading west and south, the Project traverses: Torrington Road (State Route 4) at Goshen-Torrington Town line west to East Street South; then south along East Street South (Town road) to Pie Hill Road; then west on Pie Hill Road (Town road) until Old Middle Street (State Route 63); south on Old Middle Street (State Route 63) to Brush Hill Road; then west along Brush Hill Road (Town road); the portions of the proposed Project in State Routes 4 and 63 are within the State right-of-way; the proposed portions of the proposed Project within East Street South, Pie Hill Road and Brush Hill Road are within the Town right-of-way; the proposed Project also traverses two proposed easements from Brush Hill Road (Wadhams easement at 533 Old Middle Street, and Goodhouse easement at 38 Brush Hill Road) before it enters the Woodridge Lake Sewer District (WLSD) property of 113 Brush Hill Road to the existing Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF).**

The Commission agreed to table this matter to the September 1, 2016 regular meeting. It was requested that the Commission Clerk forward via email copies of all letters and reports submitted to the Commission.

At 9:18PM, Ray Turri re-entered the meeting room and was seated for the remainder of the meeting.

### **5) NEW BUSINESS:**

#### **A. Kelly & David Asbury, Trustees, Bartholomew Hill Road (Assessor's Map #07-012-005) – Construct Driveway & Drainage with Wetlands Crossing and Single Family Dwelling with Associated Septic System in the Upland Review Area.**

Dennis McMorrow, PE, addressed the Commission on behalf of the applicant. Mr. McMorrow submitted the signed, original application form and required fee. He explained that the property was 13 acres, with 7 acres of wetlands. Wetlands were flagged in 2012. The applicant proposed to construct a single family dwelling and septic system in the upland review area; however, a wetlands crossing would be required for the driveway.

**IWC Minutes 080416**

Mr. McMorrow said that, while it may appear on the plans that there was an area that appeared to be a smaller wetlands crossing, it would in fact be more difficult and more significant to attempt a crossing in that location, as an 8-foot wide brook ran through the area. The area selected for the crossing was fed by a 3-acre watershed, and two 15-inch pipes located in the low spots would be more than adequate to handle the water. Mr. Turri asked what type of driveway was proposed, and Mr. McMorrow explained that had not yet been determined. He noted that the soils tested very favorably in the septic field location.

Mr. Connor stated that he would schedule a site walk with Mr. McMorrow prior to the next meeting.

**MOTION** Mr. Turri, second Ms. Lucas, to accept the application in the matter of **Kelly & David Asbury, Trustees, Bartholomew Hill Road (Assessor's Map #07-012-005) – Construct Driveway & Drainage with Wetlands Crossing and Single Family Dwelling with Associated Septic System in the Upland Review Area** and to schedule it for discussion at the September 1, 2016 regular meeting; unanimously approved.

**MOTION** Mr. Stansfield, second Mr. Turri, to add **Donald and Debra Germain, 154 Sandy Beach Road – Shoreline Stabilization** to the agenda as item #5B; unanimously approved.

**B. Donald and Debra Germain, 154 Sandy Beach Road – Shoreline Stabilization.**

Donald Germain addressed the Commission regarding this matter. Mr. Connor explained that pictures had been submitted with the application, and they were passed around for the Commission to review. He noted that the applicant had also submitted a detail of the proposed repair. Mr. Germain explained that they were not proposing to expand further into the lake, and they would not be hauling in material for the work.

**MOTION** Mr. Turri, second Mr. Wadhams, to accept the application in the matter of **Donald and Debra Germain, 154 Sandy Beach Road – Shoreline Stabilization**; unanimously approved.

**6. INLAND WETLANDS ENFORCEMENT OFFICER'S REPORT:**

The Commission reviewed Mr. Connor's enforcement report for the period from July 8<sup>th</sup> through August 4<sup>th</sup>.

**MOTION** Mr. Wadhams, second Mr. Turri, to accept the Enforcement Report for the period from July 8, 2016 through August 4, 2016; unanimously approved.

**7. CORRESPONDENCE:**

The Commission received copies of the Spring 2016 edition of *The Habitat*.

**8. OTHER BUSINESS PROPER TO COME BEFORE THE COMMISSION:**

No business was discussed.

**9. ADJOURN:**

**MOTION** Mr. Turri, second Mr. Stansfield, to adjourn at 9:35 PM; unanimously approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Stacey M. Sefcik  
Land Use Commissions Clerk